

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,149	10/646,149 08/22/2003		Stephen J. Bisset	09623C-013510US	8707
20350	7590	07/26/2006	EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND	NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T		
TWO EMBAR		OCENTER		1 DT I DUT	DARED MILLANED
EIGHTH FLO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, C	A 94111-3834		2629	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/646,149		BISSET, STEPHEN J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kimnhung No	guyen	2629					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statter treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, od will apply and will ex- ute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim topire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	May 2006.							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	<i>,</i> —								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election real	uirement						
	on Papers								
	·								
·-	The specification is objected to by the Examir								
10)[_]	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	=xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified	I copies not receive	d.					
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	41	☐ Interview Summary (	PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/04</u> .	3) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTC	)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined. The claims 1-15 are pending. The examination results are as following.

- 2. Claims 16-22 are restricted by original claims.
- 3. Newly submitted claims 16-22 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: because the invention is directed to pushing a button on the remote control and transmitted by first, second, third command when a finger is lifted from the button.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 16-22 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schein et al. (US 6,075,575).

Regarding claim 1, Schein et al. discloses in fig. 2, a controlled display system comprising: a video display (television screen, see col. 4, lines 16-17); a video controller (20) coupled to the video display and being responsive to an input (cursor controller, see col. 4, lines 36-37); a remote unit (2); a pointing device (cursor or pointing device, see col. 4, lines 35-36, mounted on the remote unit (2), the pointing device being capable of generating a signal corresponding to motion by an operator on the pointing device in two directions and providing the signal corresponding to the motion to the input (see col. 5, lines 12-33), wherein said motion by an operator on the pointing device correlates with a cursor movement in said video display (see controlling a cursor, see abstract), the two directions including a first direction and a second direction; the video controller being configured to display a menu (see col. 5, lines 12-15), and to select among items on the menu in response to a signal generated which corresponds to motion by the operator on the pointing device in the first direction (see fig. 4A, see col. 9, 17-36), and to select an aspect of a selected menu item in response to a signal generated which corresponds to motion by the operator on the pointing device in a second direction (see col. 4, lines 17-33).

Regarding claim 2, Schein et al. discloses in fig. 4A, the first direction indicates function, and motion in the second direction indicates values (see program guide 112 with Live with John).

Regarding claim 3, Schein et al. discloses a deactivation of said pointing device select a value for a selected function (see channel 8, fig. 4B)

Regarding claim 4, Schein et al. discloses further comprising a pointing surface (pointing device should have an pointing surface) on the pointing device (cursor means), connected to the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,149

Art Unit: 2629

pointing surface, for detecting contact with the pointing surface and, responsive thereto, sending an activation signal to the video controller (20); and the video controller being configured to display the menu in response to the activation signal as discussed above.

Regarding claim 7, Schein et al. discloses further, the menu items include volume and channel (see col. 4, lines 59-60 and fig. 4B).

Regarding 8, Schein et al. discloses the menu items are vertically arranged on said display (fig. 4B, see col. 5, lines 12-15); and selection of a menu item activates an inherent horizontal display corresponding to values of the selected menu (see col. 5, lines 57-62).

Regarding claim 9, Schein et al. discloses in fig. 7, the pointing device cursor is mounted in a remote control unit (2), and further comprising a wireless transmitter (RF) mounted in the remote control unit (2); and a wireless receiver coupled to the video controller (see fig. 3, col. 4, lines 64-67 and 1-5).

Regarding claim 10, Schein et al. discloses in fig. 2, a remote control (2) and display system comprising a video monitor including a video display (screen as discussed above); a video controller (20) coupled to the video display and being responsive to an input; and a wireless receiver coupled to the video controller (20); a remote control unit (10) including a pointing device (touch panel 11), capable of generating a signal corresponding to motion (see controlling a cursor, see abstract) by an operator on the pointing device in two directions and providing the signal corresponding to the motion to the input, the two directions including a first direction and a second direction (see col. 7, lines 1-2), wherein the motion by an operator on the pointing device correlates with a cursor movement in the video display (see controlling a cursor, see abstract); and a wireless transmitter mounted in the remote control unit (2); and the video

Art Unit: 2629

controller being configured to display menu (see figs. 4A-4B), and to select among function on the menu in response to a signal generated which corresponds to motion by the operator in the first direction, and to select a value of a selected function in response to a signal generated which corresponds to motion by the operator in a second direction (see figs. 4A-4B, see co. 4,lines 33-45), wherein the motion in the first direction is a movement by the operator on the pointing device and the motion in the second direction is another movement by the operator on the pointing device (see figs 4A-4B, see control function, and select values with channel or volume).

Regarding claims 12-15, Schein et al. discloses the motion by the operator on the pointing includes motion by the operator relative to a pointing surface of the pointing device, the pointing device comprises sliding motion on the pointing device (see scrolling 120, fig. 44B).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 6,075,575) in view of Lee et al. (US 5,545,857).

Regarding claim 5, Schein et al. does not disclose the pointing device is touchpad. Lee discloses in fig. 7, the pointing device (11) is a touchpad.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,149

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the pointing device is touchpad for selecting the function of the remote controlled apparatus as taught by Lee et al. into the system of Schein et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would utilize as predetermined input means for inputting an instruction for selecting the function of the remote-controlled apparatus (see Lee et al., col. 6, lines 43-45).

Regarding claim 6, Schein et al. does not disclose a tap on said touchpad, and sending an additional control signal in response to said tap.

Lee discloses an inherent tap on said touchpad (because Lee discloses a touch panel may have a tap), and sending an additional control signal in response to said tap.

Regarding claim 11, Schein et al. discloses in fig. 2, a remote control and display system comprising a video monitor including a video display; a video controller (20) coupled to the video display and being responsive to an input; and a wireless receiver coupled to controller (20); a remote control unit (2) capable of generating a signal corresponding to motion by an operator relative to the pointing device in two directions and providing the signal corresponding to the motion to the input, the two directions including a substantially vertical direction and a substantially horizontal direction, wherein the motion by an operator relative to the correlates with a cursor movement in the video display (as discussed above); and a wireless transmitter mounted in the remote control unit (2); and the video controller being configured to display a menu (discussed above), and to select among functions on the menu in response to a user input in the substantially vertical direction, causing a horizontal values display for a selected function to be activated, and move an indicator horizontally along the horizontal value display in response

Application/Control Number: 10/646,149

Art Unit: 2629

to a user input in the substantially horizontal direction and to select a currently indicated value by the user.

However, Schein et al. does not disclose the operator relative to the touchpad and to select a current indicated value upon termination of contact with the touchpad by the user.

Lee et al. discloses in fig. 7, a remote control including a touchpad (11) for selecting the function of the remote controlled apparatus (see col. 6, lines 42-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the touchpad for selecting the function of the remote controlled apparatus as taught by Lee et al. into the system of Schein et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would utilize as predetermined input means for inputting an instruction for selecting the function of the remote-controlled apparatus (see Lee et al., col. 6, lines 43-45).

## Response To arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 filed on 5/2/06 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,149 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnlung Monugen
Kimnhung Nguyen

Patent Examiner

July 24, 2006